

**SUMMARY OF CASES**

		<b>Page</b>
1.	<u>Arkansas Dept. of Health and Human Services v. Ahlborn</u> , 126 S. Ct. 1752 (2006) <b>U.S. Supreme Court Holds That State’s Medicaid Department Entitled To A Lien Only On Settlement Proceeds With Respect To Medical Expenses.....</b>	1
2.	<u>Budner v. Allegheny General Hospital</u> , 74 Pa. D. & C. 4 <sup>th</sup> 557 (Court of Common Pleas of Allegheny County, 3/9/05) <b>Certificate Of Merit Required For Professional Negligence Cause Of Action In Case Of Injuries From Patient’s Fall.....</b>	2
3.	<u>Burega v. Centre Community Hospital, Inc.</u> , 73 Pa. D. & C. 4 <sup>th</sup> 235 (Court of Common Pleas of Centre County, 8/24/05) <b>Court Denied Motions To Preclude Testimony Of Nurse Presented As A Fact Witness.....</b>	3,4
4.	<u>Burton v. Chatwani</u> , 2006 W.L. 1768388 (Court of Com. Pl. 5/30/06) <b>Various Evidentiary Issues In Malpractice Claim.....</b>	5,6
	<b>a. Qualification Of Expert Under MCARE.....</b>	5
	<b>b. Reasonable Medical Certainty Not Required Of Defense Expert.....</b>	5
	<b>c. Cross Examination Of Defense Expert About Malpractice Suits Filed Against Expert.....</b>	5
	<b>d. Judge Berating Of Trial Counsel.....</b>	6
	<b>e. Right To Make A Record.....</b>	6
5.	<u>Capoferri v. Children’s Hospital of Philadelphia</u> , 893 A.2d 133 (Pa. Super. 2006) <b>Voir Dire Allowed Regarding Prospective Jurors’ Attitude Towards Tort Reform.....</b>	7,8
6.	<u>Chajkowsky v. Pennsylvania Property and Casualty Insurance Guaranty Association</u> , 895 A.2d 528 (Pa. 2006) <b>Guaranty Association Is Liable For The Lesser Of The Insolvent Insurer’s Policy Limit Or The Statutory Maximum Of \$300,000.....</b>	9
7.	<u>Clark, et al v. Lankenau Hospital, et al</u> , No. 03839, 2006 WL 1768062 (Pa. Com. Pl. June 8, 2006) <b>Under MCARE And The Pennsylvania Rules Of Civil Procedure, A Medical Professional Liability Claim May Be Brought Against A Health Care Provider Only In The County In Which The Cause Of Action Arose.....</b>	10

	<b>Page</b>
8. <u>Cooper v. Schoffstall</u> , 905 A.2d 482 (Pa. 2006) <b>Availability In A Civil Case Of Discovery Of Financial Records Of A Non-Party Expert Medical Witness To Facilitate An Inquiry Into Potential Bias.....</b>	11,12
9. <u>Forrest v. St. Luke’s Hospital</u> , 73 Pa. D. & C. 4 <sup>th</sup> 353 (Court of Common Pleas of Lehigh County, 6/13/05) <b>Facts Relating To R.N.’s Investigation Into The Causes Of Patient’s Infection Are Discoverable.....</b>	13,14
10. <u>Forrester v. Salkind</u> , 901 A.2d 548 (Pa. Super. 2006) <b>The Venue Rules On Medical Malpractice Do Not Apply Where A Doctor Is Joined As An Additional Defendant And Plaintiff’s Underlying Claim Is Not Based On Medical Malpractice.....</b>	15
11. <u>Rambo v. Greene</u> , 2006 W.L. 2423433 (Pa. Super. 8/23/06) <b>An Expert Who Submits Various Reports To A Party And Then Refuses To Testify For That Party Resulting In The Claim Being Dismissed, May Be Liable, Based On Breach Of Contract, To the Party On Whose Behalf The Report Was Submitted.....</b>	16
12. <u>Solis v. St. Luke’s Hospital</u> , 75Pa. D. & C. 4 <sup>th</sup> 198 (Court of Common Pleas of Lehigh County, 5/27/05) <b>An Exception To The <i>Res Pisa Loquitur</i> Exception: Expert Testimony Required In Medical Malpractice Action Where Issues Are Beyond “Ordinary” Knowledge.....</b>	17
13. <u>Stahl v. Redcay</u> , 897 A.2d 478 (Pa. Super. 2006) <b>Sanctions Denied Based On Defense Counsel’s Opening Remarks Causing A Mistrial In Malpractice Case.....</b>	18
14. <u>Strine v. Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Medical Care Availability and Reduction of Error Fund</u> , 894 A.2d 733 (Pa. 2006) <b>Bathing An Elderly Incapacitated Patient At A Nursing Home May Give Rise To A Professional Liability Claim And Therefore Coverage Is Provided Pursuant To The CAT Fund.....</b>	19
15. <u>Taterka v. Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs, State Board of Medicine</u> , 882 A.2d 1040 (Pa. Commonwealth 2005) <b>Court Affirmed Civil Penalty Issued Against Plaintiff Doctor For Failure To Comply With Reporting Requirements Of MCARE.....</b>	20,21

	<b>Page</b>
16. <u>Treible v. Lehigh Valley Hospital, Inc.</u> , 72 Pa. D. & C.4 <sup>th</sup> 22 (Court of Common Pleas of Lehigh County, 8/12/05) <b>Court Reviewed Discovery Issues Under Peer Review Protection Act And MCARE Act.....</b>	22
<b>a. Peer Review Act.....</b>	22
<b>b. MCARE Act.....</b>	22
<b>c. Significance Of Witness Reviewing Confidential Reports In         Preparation For Deposition.....</b>	22
17. <u>Valley Medical Facilities, Inc. v. Pennsylvania Property and Casualty Insurance Guaranty Association</u> , 902 A.2d 547 (Pa. Super. 2006) <b>PPCIGA Is Liable On A Per Policy Not Per Claim Basis.....</b>	23
18. <u>Vogelsberger v. Magee-Womens Hospital of UPMC, et al.</u> , 903 A.2d 540 (Pa. Super. 2006) <b>Rejection Of Remittitur, Appealability, And Breach Of Contract.....</b>	24,25,26
<b>a. Appealability Of Remittitur Order Where Plaintiff Rejects The         Remittitur.....</b>	24
<b>b. Remittitur Standard.....</b>	24
<b>c. Breach Of Contract Claim.....</b>	26